



Spawn Failure in Amphibians

Agent

Spawn failure in amphibians occurs when spawn fails to develop properly and consequently doesn't hatch. Spawn failure may happen simply because the spawn is unfertilised by a male. This may arise due to several factors including a low ratio of sexually mature males to females at the breeding site. Spawn failure may also occur in fertilised spawn if normal embryo development is impeded by environmental factors such as temperature, light, pH, oxygenation and heavy metal concentration in the water. Spawn may be predated which can lead to poor hatching rates, for example, newts are recorded to predate frog spawn.

Late frosts or an early warm spell followed by freezing conditions can result in spawn (especially any exposed above the water) to succumb to frost damage, killing the developing eggs.

Studies have shown that exposure to high levels of UV-B light might affect the development of spawn in some species although no evidence of this affect has been recorded in British amphibian species.

Fungi, such as *Saprolegnia spp.*, can invade spawn that has already died, giving the affected eggs a cotton wool-like appearance. However, studies have also shown that it can be the primary cause of spawn failure in some amphibian species in the USA, usually when that spawn has been weakened by environmental stressors such as UV-B radiation or unusually cool conditions. It is not known if this, or another, water-borne fungus is a primary pathogen of amphibian spawn in Great Britain.

The relative importance of the various causes of amphibian spawn failure in Great Britain is unknown.

Species affected

In Great Britain, spawn failure in amphibians is reported frequently. Species known to have been affected include the common toad (*Bufo bufo*), the natterjack toad (*Epidalea calamita*) and the common frog (*Rana temporaria*).

Signs of disease

The viability of spawn may be assessed by observing the colour of the embryo at the centre of the egg. Dead eggs have a white or grey centre compared to the dark-brown centres that are seen in live eggs. Unfertilised spawn has a normal 'jelly'-like appearance but fails to develop and may become cloudy and infected with fungus over time. Fungal infection of spawn can result in a white filamentous covering over the spawn which is similar in appearance to cotton wool (see Figure 1).



Figure 1. Dead frog spawn with evidence of fungal invasion, giving the affected eggs a cotton wool-like appearance. Photo credit: Silviu Petrovan.

Disease transmission

There is no single recognised cause of amphibian spawn failure. Spawn failure in amphibians is not a feature of infection with [ranavirus](#) or [chytrid fungus](#).

Distribution

In Great Britain, reports of amphibian spawn failure are sporadic and usually affect individual sites at any one time.

Risk to human health

No known risk to human health.

Risk to domestic animal health

No known risk to domestic animal health.

Diagnosis

Frog spawn is laid in clumps in shallow water whereas toad spawn is laid in strings, usually wrapped around vegetation in slightly deep water. Newt eggs are usually found individually wrapped in vegetation. These differences can be used to determine whether frog, toad or newt spawn has been affected (see Figures 2- 4). Spawn consists of a central embryo with a clear jelly-like capsule surrounding it. It is normal to see a pale area in the dark brown embryo if the spawn has just been laid. This area is often observed on the underside of the spawn and gradually turns dark over a few days. Dead eggs have a white or grey centre compared to the dark-brown centres that are seen in live eggs. Unfertilised spawn may be cloudy in appearance and have a white filamentous covering if infected with fungus.



Figure 2. Healthy common frog (*Rana temporaria*) spawn. Photo credit: Heathfield Centre.



Figure 3. Healthy great crested newt (*Triturus cristatus*) egg. Photo credit: Silviu Petrovan.



Figure 4. Healthy common toad (*Bufo bufo*) spawn. Photo credit: Rob Williams.

Diagnosing the cause of amphibian spawn failure can be very difficult. This is because spawn failure may occur due to many different factors that were present during the spawn development, but by the time failed spawn is found, the causative agent might have disappeared. Microbiological examination of spawn to diagnose *Saprolegnia spp.* infections is likely to be unrewarding since this fungus occurs naturally in decaying pond matter and will readily grow on spawn that had died from another cause.

If you wish to report finding a dead amphibian, or signs of disease in amphibians, please visit www.gardenwildlifehealth.org. Alternatively, if you have further queries or have no internet access, please call the **Garden Wildlife Health** vets on **0207 449 6685**.

Control and prevention

Once spawn has failed to develop, it cannot be treated. If you are certain that the spawn is dead, we advise to remove it from the pond and bury it in the garden as decaying dead spawn may affect the health of your pond.

The hatching success of spawn may be enhanced if temperature and light parameters are improved by reducing vegetation causing shade in the pond and ensuring varying depths within the pond.

It is important that the movement of spawn between ponds is avoided to reduce the risk of spreading diseases such as [ranavirus](#) or [chytrid fungus](#) to new areas or ponds.

Further information

Kiesecker, J.M. and Blaustein, A.R. (1995) Synergism between UV-B radiation and a pathogen magnifies amphibian embryo mortality in nature. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science USA* 99:11049-11052.

Robinson, J., Griffiths, R.A. and Jeffries, P. (2003) Susceptibility of frog (*Rana temporaria*) and toad (*Bufo bufo*) eggs to invasion by *Saprolegnia*. *Amphibia-Reptilia* 24:261–268.

Beattie, R. C., Aston, R. J. and Milner, A. G. P. (1991) A field study of fertilization and embryonic development in the common frog (*Rana temporaria*) with particular reference to acidity and temperature. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 28:346–357.

Acknowledgements

Current funding for the GWH comes in part from Defra, the Welsh Government and the Animal and Plant Agency (APHA) Diseases of Wildlife Scheme (DoWS) <http://ahvla.defra.gov.uk/vet-gateway/surveillance/seg/wildlife.htm> ; and from the [Esmée Fairbairn Foundation](#) and the [Universities Federation for Animal Welfare](#).

Disclaimer

This fact sheet was produced by Garden Wildlife Health (GWH) for information purposes only. The GWH will not be liable for any loss, damage, cost or expense incurred in or arising by reason of any person relying on information in this fact sheet.

Date of last update: February 2018